Searchers Seeking: What Happens When you Frustrate Searchers?

Gareth Renaud
School of Computing Science
University of Glasgow

arXiv:1408.4519v1 [cs.IR] 20 Aug 2014

***Abstract*—People searching for information occasionally experience difficulties finding what they want on the Web. This might happen if they cannot quite come up with the right search terms. What do searchers do when this happens? Intuitively one imagines that they will try a number of associated search terms to zero in on their intended search target. Certainly the provision of spelling suggestions and related search terms assume that frustrated searchers will use these to implement this strategy. Is this assumption correct? What do people really do?**

We ran an experiment where we asked people to find some relevant links, but we prevented them from using the most obvious search terms, which we termed "taboo words". To make the experiment more interesting we also provided the traditional forms of assistance: spelling suggestions and related search suggestions. We assigned participants using a magic square to get no assistance, one kind of assistance, or both. Forty eight people participated in the experiment.

What emerged from the analysis was that when people are frustrated in their searching attempts, a minority soldier on, attempting to find other terms, but the majority will stick with their original query term and simply progress from page to page in a vain attempt to find something relevant. This confirms findings by other researchers about the difficulties of query reformulation. Our finding will serve to inform the developers of user interfaces to search engines, since it would be helpful if we could find a better way of supporting frustrated searchers.

Keywords: Web Search, Spelling Suggestions, Experiment

I. Introduction

Searching for information is something most of us engage in, using search engines such as Google, Bing and Yahoo. When you can provide the right search term, you will be more likely to find what you're looking for. Sometimes, however, it is difficult to come up with the right search terms, and you have to try a variety of related search terms to home in on desired information. The "tip of the tongue" experience [Brown and McNeill, 1966], where one simply cannot come up with the right word to describe something, is vaguely related to this, since sufferers experience the same frustrations as those who can't quite come up with the right search term.

There is a need to start focusing on the user experience of information retrieval. Martzoukou [Martzoukou, 2005] calls for this behaviour to be studied from all its multiple facets, including information need, which is the focus of this study. Hseih-Yee [Hsieh-Yee, 2001] also argues for the need to find out how the current Web environment supports or hinders information seeking.

Search engines commonly offer spelling suggestions and/or related search suggestions. Figures 1, 2 and 3 show the different approaches taken by the most popular search engine interfaces. Bing and Yahoo provide a list of related searches to the left of the search pane, but Yahoo places these below the filtering links which narrow down the search while Bing places them directly to the left. Google provides them at the bottom of the page. All engines, when they do suggest spelling, provide only one spelling suggestion. No doubt this is based on sophisticated data mining of submitted search terms, so that the best possible term can be presented.


Web Images Videos Shopping News Maps More | MSN Hotmail
bing
royal weding
Web
Web More▾
RELATED SEARCHES
Royal Wedding 2011
Royal Wedding ITV
Royal Wedding Dress
Royal Wedding Photos
Royal Wedding Services
British Royal Wedding
Kate Middleton Royal Wedding
Princess Diana Royal Wedding
ALL RESULTS
1-10 of 210,000,000 results Advanced
Royal Weding at Amazon
Ad
Low Prices on Royal Weding. Free UK Delivery on Amazon Orders
www.amazon.co.uk/home
Including results for royal wedding
Do you want results only for royal weding?
The Royal Wedding
Official site about the wedding of Prince William and Miss Catherine Middleton. Includes background and news and videos about the service, procession, reception, and online ...
www.officialroyalwedding2011.org

Fig. 1. Bing offers Spelling and Related Search Suggestions


+You Search Images Maps Play YouTube News Mail Documents Calendar More
Google
royal weding
Search
About 294,000 results (0.32 seconds)
Web
Images
Maps
Videos
News
Did you mean: royal wedding
The Royal Wedding
www.officialroyalwedding2011.org/
29 Apr 2011 – Official site about the wedding of Prince William and Miss Catherine Middleton. Includes background and news and videos about the service, ...
The Service - Tags - The Reception - The Procession

Fig. 2. Google Offers Spelling Suggestions, with related search suggestions at bottom of page

The following section reviews the literature in this area.

II. Background

Do web searchers need assistance, and does provided assistance help them to find what they are looking for? Wolfram *et al.* [Wolfram et al., 2001] have argued for this question to be addressed, so that, as the Web evolves at such a massive scale, we can start to understand better how to support Web searchers.

A. Is Assistance Needed?

Sutcliffe *et al.* [Sutcliffe et al., 2000] carried out an investigation into searcher performance and found that overall


Hi, Guest | Sign In | Help
YAHOO! UK & IRELAND
Web Images Video Local Shopping News More
royal weding
Search: the Web only in UK only in Ireland
SafeSearch - Off
We have included royal wedding results - Show only royal weding
204,000,000 results for royal weding:
Show All
BBC
Wikipedia
The Telegraph
The Guardian
Amazon (UK)
royal wedding pictures
royal wedding 2011
royal wedding guest list
royal wedding date
royal wedding security
The Royal Wedding
Low prices on The Royal Wedding. Free UK Delivery on Amazon Orders
www.amazon.co.uk
More Sponsors: royal wedding gift
Royal Wedding - Latest News
News Twitter
Diamond Jubilee: Royals to process in State carriages for first time since Royal Wedding
The Queen will give a special message of thanks today, after the Royal family process through London in State carriages. full story
Pippa Middleton eyes career as a wedding planner
Pippa Middleton wants to become professional wedding planner after ...
Weather gives retailers a £180m Jubilee bonus
more Royal Wedding news...
The Royal Wedding
Official site about the wedding of Prince William and Miss Catherine Middleton. Includes background and news and videos about the service, procession, reception, and ...
www.officialroyalwedding2011.org - Cached

Fig. 3. Yahoo offers Spelling and Related Search Suggestions

performance was poor. They observed searchers using the wrong search terms, amongst other poor strategies. They also found that searchers did not use provided assistance if they had to engage in several interaction steps to do so. Jansen *et al.* [Jansen et al., 1998] analysed transaction logs and found that relevance feedback was used very little. They also found that most searchers searched with one query only, and did not follow up with subsequent queries. They seldom viewed more than 2 pages. All of these studies suggest the need to provide as much assistance as possible without requiring action on the user's part. Spink *et al.*'s study confirms these findings [Spink et al., 1995a]. They studied over a million web searches and concluded that searching was a low art. Indeed, Jansen and Spink [Jansen and Spink, 2005] determined that only 50% of documents found by searchers were relevant.

Hölscher and Strube [Hölscher and Strube, 2000] found that two kinds of expertise were needed to search effectively: web experience and domain knowledge. The need for domain knowledge is even more important when one considers that Broder [Broder, 2002] and Rose and Levinson [Rose and Levinson, 2004] determined that, of the three kinds of searches people engage in, informational search made up roughly half of all searches. The other two: navigational (give me the right url) and transactional (wanting to shop or perform some other activity) made up the other half, and did not, presumably require domain knowledge.

In conclusion, it seems that searchers could well benefit from assistance. Clearly the main search engines have come to the same conclusion, as evidenced by the screenshots in the introduction.

B. What Kinds of Assistance?

Jansen [Jansen et al., 2000] reports that users routinely misspell search terms, so it makes sense to offer spelling suggestions. McCray *et al.* [McCray et al., 2004] argue that offering suggestions to searchers will help them to re-formulate their queries, and in the end to find what they seek. They did not carry out an experiment to prove that this would work, however. Kelly *et al.* [Kelly et al., 2009], in their experiment, found no difference in performance when searchers were offered suggestions based on term relevance feedback, or user generated suggestions. They only compared two different kinds of suggestions, and did not have a control group who did not get any suggestions at all, so it is difficult to measure the performance impact of either type of suggestion as opposed to none at all.

Fonseca *et al.* [Fonseca et al., 2003] report that when they offered related search suggestions, and users clicked on them, in 92% of cases users found something useful. However Anick *et al.* [Anick, 2003] were not able to prove an significant effect of offering terminological feedback to searchers. None of these studies were aimed at people who couldn't quite come up with the correct word to start their search.

The rest of this paper is organised as follows. Section III provides details the design of the experiment. and introduces the SCAMP tool which was used to support this research. Section IV explains how SCAMP was configured to carry out the experiment. Section V presents the results and Section VI concludes.

III. Investigation into Assistance Options

A study was conducted in order to to investigate which aids *do* help web searchers. The experiment contrived to prevent searchers from using the most obvious terms in searching for news items, so as to emulate the situation where people couldn't initially formulate effective query terms. Research [Spink et al., 1995a] suggests that only one in five web searchers re-formulate queries, so this experiment sought to compel users to do so, in order to ensure that they looked for and used any assistance that was required. Three research questions were addressed by this study:

1) Which aid: spelling or related search suggestions, is most helpful to users who have difficulty finding what they want?
2) Where on the screen should these suggestions be presented?
3) How many suggestions, of each type, should be offered?

The hypothesis being tested is:

> *There will be a direct relationship between the number of search aids provided and the number of positive relevance judgements given by participants in an experiment where participants are asked to find a topic without using a given set of words related to the search topic.*

A. The SCAMP Tool

Since search engine assistance was being tested it made sense to harness web services which are offered by some web search engines (eg. Bing, Digg). A generic tool, called SCAMP[1], was developed to conduct this experiment. The need for SCAMP is emphasised by the findings of Jansen and Spink [Jansen and Spink, 2006] who found that findings from user-based research focused on web searching with one particular

[1]SCAMP is available from http://sourceforge.net/projects/puppyir/.

engine cannot be applied to searching with another engine so there is clearly a need for a tool which supports experimental comparison.

SCAMP allows researchers to configure and run online web engine related experiments. SCAMP supports the researcher in configuring the experiment in a particular way to test configurations, assistance mechanisms, and timings. Furthermore, it allows the researcher to integrate questionnaires before and after tasks and for the entire experiment. The experiment, once built, can be activated so that participants can register, consent and participate. Everything is done remotely, and the researcher is able to monitor the progress of the experiment via SCAMP's researcher dashboard. A full description of SCAMP is provided here: [Renaud and Azzopardi, 2012]. SCAMP is a generic tool, able to support a range of comparisons between search engine configurations. This particular experiment was an instance of the kind of experiment SCAMP can facilitate.

B. Implementation Decisions

In order to answer the three research questions, the following implementation decisions were made:

- SCAMP applies a latin square rotation to test the effects of spelling suggestions, related search suggestions, both or neither. A within-subjects design was implemented, so that participants would execute search tasks for each of the different conditions.
- The previous design decision impacted on the decision of where to display the assistance. All the popular interfaces offer the spelling suggestion directly under the search box, and those that offer related search suggestions display them in a panel to the left. For this experimental design four conditions applied: *no* suggestions, *one* of the two, or *both*. If one follows the lead of the major search engines spelling suggestions would be displayed under the search box but related search suggestions to the left. This would mean that the suggestions would be displayed differently for each of four search terms conducted by participants. Displaying the suggestions in two different locations on the screen could have confused the participant, and confounded the experimental process. It seemed better to display all suggestions in the same location: just below the search box, to maximise consistency.
- In terms of the number of suggestions to display, the decision was somewhat hampered by not having access to the vast resources held by widely-used search engines, which could have helped to identify the one most helpful suggestion. Only offering one suggestion could mean one meaningless suggestion was offered and the most potentially useful suggestion ommitted. Hence eight of each suggestion type were offered (following Bing's lead), hoping that this would maximise the chances of a meaningful alternative being offered without unduly confusing the participants or producing too much clutter.

IV. Configuring SCAMP

This experiment will be referred to as "the synonym experiment". Using SCAMP, the synonym experiment was configured as follows:

- *Experimental Conditions:* SCAMP assumes that the experimental condition in the experiments is the IR system/engine. Thus the researcher selected and configured a number of search engines to be used in the experiment. Since SCAMP uses the PuppyIR framework it can access the engines available with the framework such as Bing, Twitter, YouTube, iTunes, Wikipedia, Picassa, Flickr, and Digg. For the purpose of this experiment Bing was used across all conditions to eliminate possible differenced between search engine results:
  - (i) Bing with no search aids,
  - (ii) Bing with spelling suggestions,
  - (iii) Bing with related search suggestions, and
  - (iv) Bing with both spelling and related search suggestions.

Logout
Task: Find as many news stories as you can related to the Royal Wedding of April 2011
SCAMP
Taboo terms: Prince Royal Wedding

Fig. 4. Search Instructions

- *Assistance Mechanisms:* Participants could be offered spelling suggestions and/or related topic suggestions. Belkin *et al.* [Belkin et al., 2001] recommend that suggestions be offered without any effort from the users, as opposed to requiring users to request them so SCAMP simply displayed the suggestions without being prompted. Spelling suggestions were listed directly under the search box. A Web Service offered by Ockham (http://code.google.com/p/ockham-spell/) was used to provide spelling suggestions based on the search term typed in by the user. A list of related searches was obtained from the Bing web service.
- *Search Topics:* The researcher specified a random assignment of topic to assistance mechanism for each participant. A time limit for search tasks was imposed, and certain query terms were not allowed (or black listed) as appropriate for each particular task in order to ensure that the searcher was forced to come up with synonyms rather than use the most obvious search term. This is a somewhat contrived way to attempt to replicate the problems people experience in coming up with the optimal search term. Users were told which words they could not use when the topic was introduced and every time they entered a search term (Figure 4)
- *Questionnaires:* Pre/post-experiment and pre/post-task questionnaires were specified.
- *Logging:* SCAMP logs relevant user actions. For example, every query term is logged, every document rating, every time a hyperlink is clicked on to view a document (inline) and every question answer (Figure 7). This supports later analysis.


kate william
Next Topic
Logout
Task: Find as many news stories as you can related to the Royal Wedding of April 2011
Taboo Words: Prince Royal Wedding
Spelling Suggestions: karte, katei, kater, katey, katie, willaim, wil liam, wil-liam, will iam, will-iam,
Related Searches: Prince William Kate Middleton News, Prince William Kate Middleton Wedding, William & Kate Memorabilia, William and Kate Related, Kate & William Royal Wedding,
Showing page 1 out of 4810 pages.
Kate sent undies to Prince William for Valentines Day - Newstrack India
London, Feb 16 (ANI)): The Duchess of Cambridge reportedly sent a pair of boxer shorts to her husband Prince William, who is in the Falkland Islands serving as an RAF search and rescue helicopter pilot, as Valentine's Day gift. It is said that ...
Prince William and Kate Middletons anniversary toy makes its debut - Daily Mail
Mattel the famed toy company is releasing a special set of Barbie dolls made in the likeness of Prince William and Kate Middleton. A doll was made of Kate when the royal couple's engagement was announced but this will be the first Barbie doll set made for ...

Fig. 5. Search Results


Logout
Welcome to SCAMP Evaluation
Thank you for agreeing to participate in this experiment. Please answer a few questions to get started
Gender
Male
Female
Prefer not to say
How successful are you at finding things when you can't quite come up with the right search term?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Poor
Excellent

Fig. 6. Pre-Experiment Questionnaire

- *Experimental Tasks*: The tasks were designed to determine how easily users could find information when certain query terms were prevented. Participants were required to find relevant documents without using taboo terms. For example, if the topic was "[country name] independence" then the participants were not allowed to use the terms "[country name], [country name - ish] independence, independent". The restrictions on query terms increased the difficulty of the topics, requiring participants to use synonyms and related terms to find relevant documents.

  Four search tasks were created based on high profile news stories (in the country in which the study was undertaken). This search task falls into the first of Byström and Järvelin's [Byström and Järvelin, 1995] complexity levels: where tasks are completely determinable. In this level some of the tasks may be vague, which was implemented by disallowing obvious search terms.

  The searchers were asked to find news items about the Occupy Protest, the Royal Wedding, Scottish Independence and the England Riots. These news items were all prominently reported in the last quarter of 2011 or the first quarter of 2012, so participants are likely to have been aware of them. The search tasks were randomly assigned using a latin square rotation to the different experimental conditions. For each search task/topic, taboo search terms were specified.

  For each task two web resources were consulted to determine how many synonyms and related terms were available for each of the search tasks (www.lexfn.com; poets.notredame.ac.jp). This ensured that the task was not too difficult: several linked terms and potential synonyms were available. Topics with over 50 related terms were chosen. Google Trends was used to ensure that the news story had indeed been a headline story within the previous 12 months so that there was a good chance that participants would be familiar with the general story.



Fig. 7. Rating Relevance

Figure 7 demonstrates the mechanics of the participant interface. After the user submits a query a list of results are displayed. Each has a thumbs-up and thumbs-down displayed to the left of the link. The user can indicate that a particular link did indeed match their intent by clicking on the thumbs-up. A smiling dog provides feedback. If the link was not relevant, this can be indicated by clicking on the thumbs-down, and a sad dog provides feedback. (Both actions can be undone by clicking on the icon) If the user wants to view the page, he or she can click on the link, and an embedded window opens just below the link and displays the page.

Participants could keep searching until their time span had been reached, or continue to the next topic. The experiment concluded with a post-experiment questionnaire, which asked them the question: "How well do you think you did?" .

V. Results

Forty-Eight participants undertook the web based experiment of which 28 were male, 19 were female, and one declined to give their gender. A further 16 participants began the experiment, but did not complete it. Of the 48, four were eliminated because their data was unusable. The experiment was advertised on web based forums and locally. Most participants

were from the local country, though other participants were based in various countries including South Africa, Germany, Poland, Scotland, England, Holland, Canada and the USA.

In a pre-experiment questionnaire participants were asked how well they could generate synonyms to which the mode was 7 out of 10. It seems that most participants felt that they could generate synonyms successfully. However, by the end of experiment, participants rated how well they did, on average, as 4 out of 10. This suggests that they found the tasks challenging and struggled to find the relevant news items when the most obvious search terms were forbidden.

Table I summarises the findings of the experiment.

Condition	Avg. Num. Queries	Avg. Num. Relevant Results	Avg. Time (secs)
Bing No Aid	7.35	5.27	138
Bing Spell	7.85	5.5	150
Bing Related	7.93	6.16	144
Bing Both	7.60	6.95	144

TABLE I
USAGE FOR EACH SYSTEM PER TASK/PARTICIPANT.

A. Charts

SCAMP[2] displays some charts to support preliminary analysis. Some are shown here. The first thing to determine is whether the participants spent significantly more time using any of the particular engine configurations. In Figure 8 the



Fig. 8. Timing per Engine

timing is shown by engine configuration. There is no significant difference in average timings between engines and topics.

The hypothesis predicted that people would be able to find more relevant links if assistance was offered. The number of relevant links per condition is shown in Figure 9. A statistical analysis of the user ratings shows that there is no significant difference between these conditions ($p > 0.1$ in all cases)

Measuring the page depth is a good way to measure effort of querying. In Figure 10 it is clear that the "no aid" and "both aid" engines cost more in terms of page depth required to find relevance. However, this difference is not significant.

In Figure 11, one can observe the average query changes per engine. This shows that it is more likely for a participant

[2]Charts compliments of Google Charts



Fig. 9. Relevance



Fig. 10. Page Depth

to change their query should they be presented with related search terms, but not in the other conditions. However, their overall performance was not improved in this condition, which suggests that the related searches were not very useful.

Figures 10 and 11 suggest that something unexpected was happening during searching. The participants' comments shed light on the source of the problem.

B. Participant Comments

Participants did not experience problems using SCAMP's participant interface; they seemed to be able to search and rate results easily. They generally liked the thumbs-up and down buttons, and the immediate feedback when they clicked on these. However, on a scale of 1 to 10, they rated the search tasks as 5 in terms of how mentally demanding they were.

Many participants were particularly frustrated by not being able to use the words they wanted to use. Comments such as "*take out the flipping taboo words*" and "*take away evil forbidden words*" appeared in response to the post-experiment questionnaire.

There is also a sense that the suggestions were not used universally by the participants. One said: "*The suggestions for alternate searches and spellings were cluttered and did not catch the eye so were not used.*". Also: "*i felt it was more my own fault i couldnt come up with better search terms*".



Fig. 11. Query Changes



Fig. 12. Initial Search Terms for Scottish Independence

It had seemed, during the design phase, that displaying the assistance right under the search box would make them visible and noticeable but it doesn't seem as if everyone noticed and used them. Indeed, when both were offered the interface might well have become too cluttered, thus putting participants off their main task and interfering with search efficiency.

C. Strategies

The obvious question, when one contemplates this research, is what strategies participants deployed in carrying out the searches. To support this analysis all queries were classified along the lines of [Rieh and Xie, 2006] into one of the following categories:

1) *Initial:*
   The initial query
2) *Paging:*
   Progressing to the next page of search results based on the same query.
3) *Specialisation:*
   Adding an extra term, eg. "balcony kiss" → "balcony kiss kate"
4) *Generalisation:*
   Removing a term, eg. "george square glasgow sit in 2011" → "george square glasgow"
5) *Replacement*:
   'Use of synonyms eg. "l'ecosse independence" → "alex salmond vote to break away from britain"
6) *Taboo Word*:
   eg. "prince"

Figure 12 shows the initial terms submitted for the topic: Scottish Independence. Four distinct techniques emerge:

- Hypernyms: Freedom related terms
- Hyponyms: Breaking away
- Meronyms: Ruling Political Party & First Minister
- Associated Mechanism: Referendum

Sensebot [3] generates 28 terms based on the search "Scotland Independence". Eight of these are blocked as taboo terms. The participants used nine of the remaining 20.

[3]www.sensebot.net

Similar tactics were deployed across the other topics but where the topic was related to a specific event searchers would sometimes also provide a date and location.

Figure 13 shows a stacked graph of all participants' searches (with the numbers shown next to the categories used to generate the graph). Some persisted much longer than others. The minimum number of interactions with SCAMP was 8, but some participants interacted up to 53 times before timing out. An interesting aspect of this graph is that the activity varies quite a lot at the beginning but the lines plateau towards the end, suggesting a progression to next pages rather than re-formulation.



Fig. 13. Stacked Graph of Participant Strategies

The graph in Figure 14 shows the prevalence of the different strategies across all participants:

Clearly participants proceeded to new pages far more than is usual in searching the web [Spink et al., 1995b]. Spink *et al.* found that searchers viewed only 1.7 pages on average, and this effect was consistent across multiple studies. The participants, however, traversed an average of 4.3 pages during their search for each of the four topics. On average, there were only 1.5 query re-formulations per topic.

A further analysis reveals a correlation of -0.66 between the tendency to proceed to the next page of the results, and the tendency to re-formulate the query. So it seems that those participants who found it hard to come up with synonyms



Fig. 14. Percentages of Categories of Query



Fig. 16. Progression From One Query Strategy to Another

tended to keep searching based on their initial query, and others tried to use synonyms to find what they were looking for. The graph also shows that most searchers preferred to traverse successive pages rather than trying to re-formulate the query.



Fig. 15. Paging Versus Synonym Use

The graph shown in Figure 16 plots progression of one query strategy to another across all participants, and this confirms that a majority of searchers seem to choose the strategy of advancing to the next page, and then stick with it. Very few searchers replaced their query straight after the first one. When they did choose to re-formulate, the most popular action thereafter was also to go straight to the next page, rather than reformulating again, or specialising.

This analysis concludes by considering the different topics to see whether there were any differences. Figure 17 shows how many results were deemed relevant by the participants.

Scottish Independence and the Occupy Movement delivered more relevant results than the other two topics. A Google Trends search of these topics (Figure 18) shows that the two topics which delivered the most relevant results are also the two most recently occurring news events, so that might have played a role. The recency of the news items might have helped searchers to formulate effective query terms.

The number of progressions to next pages and use of re-formulation across conditions shows an average of progression



Fig. 17. Number of Relevant Results Found

to new pages of 3.8 for Bing Related as opposed to 4.2, 4.7, and 4.5 for the other conditions. It would be unwise to draw conclusions based on so few participants, especially since the difference is so small. It is possible, however, that the related search display helped the searcher to come up with query re-formulations, but that the extra clutter when both spelling suggestions and related searches were provided negated this effect. This is, admittedly, pure supposition and would have to be confirmed by a more comprehensive study.

D. Discussion

To return to the three research questions:

1) *Which aid: spelling or related search suggestions, is most helpful to users who have difficulty finding what they want?* No differences emerged to show, conclusively, which of these was most helpful. The way the assistance was displayed, however, could have caused the interface to become cluttered, and could have negated the potentially positive effects of the assistance. Such assistance is routinely offered by search engine query interfaces. They probably have strong empirical evidence proving the benefits of offering such assistance but it does not seem to appear in the research literature at



Fig. 18. Recency of Topics

present. Indeed, the findings appear to confirm those of Park *et al.* [Park et al., 2005] who also found that users did not use the assistance offered to them when searching. It would be extremely helpful to know exactly what kinds, and how, to provide assistance in order to be able to conduct information retrieval research effectively.

2) *Where on the screen should these suggestions be presented?* It is clear that offering the suggestions, in the line of sight, needs to be done with care if the display is not to become too cluttered. Offering one spelling suggestion, as the major engines do, is probably the wisest option, but this option was not open to SCAMP, which did not have the vast resources at its disposal to choose the best spelling suggestion. It was probably unwise, in retrospect, to offer the related work suggestions in the same space. Alternative positions is a fruitful avenue for further research.
3) *How many suggestions, of each type, should be offered?* The feedback obtained from the participants showed that fewer suggestions should be offered than SCAMP currently offers. The number offered by SCAMP clearly cluttered the display.

The hypothesis was *not* supported by the results of the study. Paired t-tests were carried out to compare all conditions and no significance resulted. What does emerge is that people are not particularly apt at coming up with alternative search terms when the obvious terms are ruled out. This confirms the findings of [Rieh and Xie, 2006] about how challenging reformulation of queries is. It also shows how adaptive people are in finding other ways of reaching their goal, and how they persist with a chosen strategy, even when it does not deliver results.

VI. Conclusion

The experiment did not show a significant difference between related search suggestions and spelling suggestions. This could have been related to the way the information was presented, or it could be that the problem is more fundamental than that.

An interesting observation was that most participants adopted a sub-optimal coping strategy when frustrated in their attempts to use the most obvious search term, probably in an attempt to minimise cognitive effort [Gilovich T, 2002].

Future investigations into offering assistance to searchers should focus on the following areas:

1) Conduct a study using eye tracking equipment to determine how many users actually look at the suggestions.
2) Experiment with different ways of offering suggestions — as opposed to simply displaying them in a list.
3) Based on these findings, update SCAMP so that suggestions are displayed in the most optimal location, and with as little clutter as possible.
4) Conduct a larger scale study. The study reported here was a pilot study, and the results serve to highlight the need for further investigation.
5) Participants were instructed to search for news items. This choice might have confounded searchers, since many felt that the taboo terms made it almost impossible for them to find what they were searching for. It might be worth requiring searchers to find different kinds of items to see whether that has an impact. (SCAMP can search for images and videos as well as web pages)

SCAMP is an an Open Source tool which will be included in the PuppyIR framework that is publicly available from SourceForge[4]. We would like to get feedback from other researchers so that we can improve SCAMP as a tool to support researchers investigating search engine technologies.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Karen Renaud for reading an earlier draft of this paper and for allowing me to benefit from her expertise in writing about experiments.

References

[Anick, 2003] Anick, P. (2003). Using terminological feedback for web search refinement: a log-based study. In *Proceedings of the 26th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in informaion retrieval*, SIGIR '03, pages 88–95, New York, NY, USA. ACM.

[Belkin et al., 2001] Belkin, N. J., Cool, C., Kelly, D., Lin, S.-J., Park, S. Y., Perez-Carballo, J., and Sikora, C. (2001). Iterative exploration, design and evaluation of support for query reformulation in interactive information retrieval. *Information Processing and Management*, 37:403–34.

[Broder, 2002] Broder, A. (2002). A taxonomy of web search. *SIGIR Forum*, 36(2).

[Brown and McNeill, 1966] Brown, R. and McNeill, D. (1966). The "tip of the tongue" phenomenon. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 5:523–337.

[Byström and Järvelin, 1995] Byström, K. and Järvelin, K. (1995). Task complexity affects information seeking and use. *Information Processing and Management*, 31(2):191–213.

[Fonseca et al., 2003] Fonseca, B. M., Golgher, P. B., De Moura, E. S., Pôssas, B., and Ziviani, N. (2003). Discovering search engine related queries using association rules. *J. Web Eng.*, 2(4):215–227.

[Gilovich T, 2002] Gilovich T, Griffin D, K. D., editor (2002). *Heuristics and Biases: The psychology of intuitive judgment*. Cambridge University Press, New York.

[Hölscher and Strube, 2000] Hölscher, C. and Strube, G. (2000). Web search behavior of internet experts and newbies. *Computer Networks*, 33(1-6):337–346.

[Hsieh-Yee, 2001] Hsieh-Yee, I. (2001). Research on web search behavior. *Library & Information Science Research*, 23(2):167–185.

[Jansen et al., 1998] Jansen, Spink, Bateman, and Saracevic (1998). Real life information retrieval: A study of user queries on the web. *IRFORUM: SIGIR Forum (ACM Special Interest Group on Information Retrieval)*, 32.

[4] http://sourceforge.net/projects/puppyir/

[Jansen and Spink, 2005] Jansen, B. J. and Spink, A. (2005). An analysis of web searching by european AlltheWeb.com users. *Information Processing and Management*, 41(2):361381.

[Jansen and Spink, 2006] Jansen, B. J. and Spink, A. (2006). How are we searching the world wide web? a comparison of nine search engine transaction logs. *Information Processing and Management*, 42(1).

[Jansen et al., 2000] Jansen, B. J., Spink, A., and Saracevic, T. (2000). Real life, real users, and real needs: A study and analysis of user queries on the web. *Inf. Process. & Management*, 36:207–227.

[Kelly et al., 2009] Kelly, D., Gyllstrom, K., and Bailey, E. W. (2009). A comparison of query and term suggestion features for interactive searching. In *Proceedings of the 32nd international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, SIGIR '09, pages 371–378, New York, NY, USA. ACM.

[Martzoukou, 2005] Martzoukou, K. (2005). A review of web information seeking research: considerations of method and foci of interest. *Information Research*, 10(1).

[McCray et al., 2004] McCray, A. T., Ide, N. C., Loane, R. R., and Tse, T. (2004). Strategies for supporting consumer health information seeking. In Fieschi, M., Coiera, E., and Li, Y.-C. J., editors, *Medinfo 2004: Proceedings Of THe 11th World Congress On Medical Informatics*. IOS Press.

[Park et al., 2005] Park, S., Leeb, J. H., and Baeb, H. J. (2005). End user searching: A web log analysis of NAVER, a korean web search engine. *Library & Information Science Research*, 27(2):203221.

[Renaud and Azzopardi, 2012] Renaud, G. L. and Azzopardi, L. (2012). SCAMP: A Tool for Conducting Interactive Information Retrieval Experiments. In *Proceedings Fourth Information Interaction in Context Symposium (IIiX) 2012, 21-24 August*, Nijmegen, Netherlands.

[Rieh and Xie, 2006] Rieh, S. Y. and Xie, H. (2006). Analysis of multiple query reformulations on the web: The interactive information retrieval context. *Information Processing and Management*, 42(3):751768.

[Rose and Levinson, 2004] Rose, D. E. and Levinson, D. (2004). Understanding user goals in web search.

[Spink et al., 1995a] Spink, A., Jansen, B. J., and Ozmultu, H. C. (1995a). Use of query reformulation and relevance feedback by excite users. *Internet Research*, 10(4):317 – 328.

[Spink et al., 1995b] Spink, A., Jansen, B. J., Wolfram, D., and Saracevic, T. (1995b). From e-sex to e-commerce: Web search changes. *IEEE Computer*, 35(3):133–135.

[Sutcliffe et al., 2000] Sutcliffe, A. G., Ennis, M., and Watkinson, S. J. (2000). Empirical studies of end-user information searching. *JASIS*, 51(13):1211–1231.

[Wolfram et al., 2001] Wolfram, D., Spink, A., Jansen, B. J., and Saracevic, T. (2001). Vox populi: The public searching of the web. *Journal of The American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 52(1):10731074.